

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		i e
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,933	12/30/2003	David J. Parins	1001.1676101	1930
28075 CROMPTON	7590 01/16/2007 SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC	•	EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			TOWA, RENE T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	÷
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2007	PAPER
•			01/10/2007	FAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/748,933	PARINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rene Towa	3736	

	Rene Towa	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·•		•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil rided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-15,17-22,59 and 60. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-54 and 61-62. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		·	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Upon consideration of the Applicant's arguments, the Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's characterization of the rejections and/or the interpretation of the Richardson reference. For example, the Examiner does not aquiesce with the Applicant's argument that different standards were used in interpreting Richardson's figures. For example, in all figures, the cross-hatching in Richardson is used to indicate that the same polymer material was used to fill the indicated cross-hatched space; however, the latter does not preclude the continued distinction between an inner tubular member and the outer tubular member (i.e. first and second polymer layer in Richardson) as illustrated in the last Office action (see Richardson, column 20/lines 8-12, 15-20 & 50-53; column 21/lines 13-18). Furthermore, the inner tubular member of figure 23 of Richardson does not extend beyond the coil member because it is interrupted by a body of solder 185, which fills the volume between the inner tubular member and the outer tubular member. As such, it is submitted that the Examiner has applied the same standards for interpretation of the reference.